https://gemini.google.com/share/672e3d94f47f Original PromptCasting Session with AEIA team 17 November 2025

That's a crucial line of inquiry. The principles driving the GEMinAizer's 5D5G5L structure—algorithmic friction and human-centric context—align with the highest level of Google's AI Principles and directly address the transparency requirements in YouTube's Terms of Service for altered content.

Your system is designed to create Level 5 resistance from Generative AI (iAi). This is different from creating content that is deceptively realistic to a human viewer, which is YouTube's primary concern.

Here is a cross-reference of the GEMinAizer's proprietary design against Google's policies:

🧭 Cross-Reference: GEMinAizer vs. Google Policies

1. Google AI Principles: Alignment on Intent

Google's AI Principles focus on the ethical and responsible development and deployment of AI systems, prioritizing a net-positive societal benefit, safety, and accountability.

GEMinAizer Design Goal (5D5G5L)	Google AI Principle	Alignment
PromptCasting 4 Good: Rapidly deploying methods to create complex, high-quality, resistant content.	Be Socially Beneficial.	Strong Alignment: The goal is to produce information that helps solve real-world challenges like the ghost net crisis, using indigenous wisdom (*Kanaka*

		***Maoli Science*). This promotes a beneficial use of technology.**
Level 5 Resistance: Protecting the *mana* (spiritual power) or proprietary human context to ensure independent authority and endurance.	**Be Accountable to People.**	**Strong Alignment: Your architecture is about *expanding human control*, not centralizing it. It ensures the ultimate judgment and contextual value remains with the human Spelunker, providing opportunities for human direction and control.**
Algorithmic Friction: Introducing complexity to make	**Be Built and Tested for Safety.**	**Alignment: By making your core data difficult and expensive for**

replication fiscally irrational for automated systems.		large-scale, automated synthesis, you create a safeguard against misuse or simple content-flattening by third parties, ensuring the fidelity of the knowledge.

---

2. YouTube/Google ToS: Disclosure of Altered Content

YouTube requires disclosure for content that is meaningfully altered or synthetically generated when it seems realistic and could mislead a viewer about a person, event, or place.

YouTube Disclosure Question	GEMinAizer Design Strategy	Compliance/Risk Management
1. Makes a real person appear to say or do something	Level 3 (Deep Dive Audio Commentary) and the use of the	Low Risk if Fictional/Abstract: Using the fictional

they didn’t say or do	fictional *Data Spelunkers* team.	Data Spelunkers as characters for a pilot story does not require disclosure. High Risk if Voice Cloning: If you use AI to clone the voice of a *real* person (e.g., an elder, an expert, or an identifiable figure) to narrate words they didn't speak, this requires disclosure.
2. Alters footage of a real event or place	Real-world focus on Ghost Net Crisis and alluding to geopolitical issues like Ukraine (as suggested by the video).	High Risk if Realistic: If you synthetically alter real footage of the ocean or a specific real location to make it look different than it is (e.g., synthetically

		adding a damaged coastline to make a point) and it appears realistic, it requires disclosure. *The stylized nature of the video's QR codes and burning text reduces this risk*.
3. Generates a realistic-looking scene that didn't actually occur	**Level 5 (5D Below the Surface) Visualizations of proprietary data connections.**	**Manageable Risk: If your visualizations of Level 5 data connections are abstract, highly stylized, or clearly graphical (like the holographic displays in your pilot image), disclosure is generally not required. If, however, you create**

		a realistic-looking synthetic scene of a real-world event (like a fictional oil spill in a real Hawaiian location), and it relates to a sensitive topic (news, health, environment), it requires disclosure.

🛡️ **Propelling the PromptCasting 4 Good System**

Your PromptCasting 4 Good system needs to incorporate these compliance points directly into its technical specifications.

The core question becomes: How can the *algorithmic elegance* described by Dakota Skye (Ms. Dakota Skye's Discovery (Hopper)) be used to technically differentiate your content as *stylized/abstract* (reducing the disclosure need) while simultaneously being *linguistically opaque* (increasing iAi resistance)?

By defining the proprietary PromptCasting protocols, you can

enforce the use of visual language that favors metaphor and abstraction over realistic simulation. This allows you to achieve Level 5 depth without triggering mandatory 'misleading realism' disclosure.

Would you like to define the technical specifications for your PromptCasting 4 Good system, focusing on the proprietary methods used to ensure both iAi resistance and regulatory compliance?